

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/651,690	08/28/2003	Joanne Young Hee Kwak Kim	112461-016	9043		
43793	43793 7590 06/14/2006			EXAMINER		
EVEREST INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP P. O. BOX 708			SZPERKA, MICHAEL EDWARD			
	OK, IL 60065	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			1644			
			DATE MAILED: 06/14/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/651,690	KIM ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Michael Szperka	1644	

Berere the timing of an Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Michael Szperka	1644						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
	THE REPLY FILED 26 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the								
following time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	the final rejection							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a since a Notice of Appeal has been filed. 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or search (see NO	,	because					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beta appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.						
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendm	nent canceling					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19,28-40,43-69,73-82,86-111,113-1</u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>20-27,41,42,70-7</u> AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	72,83-85,112 and 118-276.							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	-	• •						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	ance because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	_					
13.		SUL	add					

G.R. EWOLDT, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Applicant's proposed amendment would introduce new limitations as well new combinations of limitations previously recited as elements of separate claims that require addition search and consideration. Examples of such new limitations include the recitation of "withdrawing a blood sample prior and subsequent to conception" in amended claims 1, 53, 73, the recitation of "or percentage of a representative population" that appears in amended claim 4, and the recitation that implantation failure occurs after ART cycles or ovulation induction cycles as is recited in amended claim 2.

Further, applicant has submitted a declaration under 37 CFR 1.131 to antedate rejections that rely upon the teachings of Pluenneke. These rejections were made of record in the first action on the merits and as such applicant's declaration is not timely filed and will not be entered.